

January 9, 2003

Mr. Therold I. Farmer Walsh, Anderson, Brown, Schulze & Aldridge, P.C. P.O. Box 2156 Austin, Texas 78752

OR2003-0200

Dear Mr. Farmer:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 174784.

The Rockdale Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for the requestor's personnel file. You state that you are preparing to release some responsive information to the requestor. You claim that a portion of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.026, 552.101, 552.102, 552.114, 552.131, and 552.305 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

As an alternative argument to whether the information at issue may be withheld under particular exceptions to disclosure, you assert that the submitted records that personally identify students and the portions of the records pertaining to the sexual harassment investigation are not responsive to the request for "personnel file" information because these

¹Please note that section 552.305 is not an exception to the disclosure of information under the Public Information Act (the "Act"). Rather, section 552.305 permits a governmental body to rely on an interested third party to raise and explain the applicability of exceptions in the Act in certain circumstances. See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990). It does not appear that you have notified any party with such an interest of the present records request. Nor has this office received any communication from an interested third party. Therefore, we need not address section 552.305 any further.

records do not relate directly to employment or conditions of employment. However, the Act requires the governmental body to make a good faith effort to relate a request to information that the governmental body holds or to which it has access. See Open Records Decision Nos. 563 at 8 (1990), 561 at 8-9 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 534 at 2-3 (1989). Since you have submitted the information at issue to this office for consideration from the requestor's personnel file, we deem it responsive and will consider whether these documents contain information that is excepted from disclosure.

We next address the arguments regarding student record information. The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 ("FERPA") provides that no federal funds will be made available under any applicable program to an educational agency or institution that releases personally identifiable information (other than directory information) contained in a student's education records to anyone but certain enumerated federal, state, and local officials and institutions, unless otherwise authorized by the student's parent. See 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1). "Education records" means those records that contain information directly related to a student and are maintained by an educational agency or institution or by a person acting for such agency or institution. Id. § 1232g(a)(4)(A). This office generally applies the same analysis under section 552.114 and FERPA. Open Records Decision No. 539 (1990).

Section 552.114 excepts from disclosure student records at an educational institution funded completely or in part by state revenue. Section 552.026 provides as follows:

This chapter does not require the release of information contained in education records of an educational agency or institution, except in conformity with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, Sec. 513, Pub. L. No. 93-380, 20 U.S.C. Sec. 1232g.

In Open Records Decision No. 634 (1995), this office concluded that (1) an educational agency or institution may withhold from public disclosure information that is protected by FERPA and excepted from required public disclosure by sections 552.026 and 552.101 without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision as to those exceptions, and (2) an educational agency or institution that is state-funded may withhold from public disclosure information that is excepted from required public disclosure by section 552.114 as a "student record," insofar as the "student record" is protected by FERPA, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision as to that exception. In this instance, the district has submitted information to this office that it believes to be subject to FERPA. Therefore, we will address the applicability of FERPA to that information.

Information must be withheld from required public disclosure under FERPA only to the extent "reasonable and necessary to avoid personally identifying a particular student." See Open Records Decision Nos. 332 (1982), 206 (1978). Further, information that does not directly identify a student but would nevertheless make a student's identity easily traceable, must also be withheld. See Open Records Decision No. 224 (1979) (finding student's handwritten comments making identity of student easily traceable through handwriting, style of expression, or particular incidents related in comments protected under FERPA). A portion of the submitted information directly relates to particular students. Therefore, the district must withhold the student identifying information pursuant to FERPA. We have marked the student identifying information that must be withheld. However, we do not agree with your contention that the remaining information is protected from disclosure under FERPA. Thus, the district may not withhold any portion of the remaining information pursuant to FERPA.

Section 552.102 excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers*, 652 S.W.2d 546 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court ruled that the test to be applied to information claimed to be protected under section 552.102 is the same as the test formulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* for information claimed to be protected under the doctrine of common-law privacy as incorporated by section 552.101 of the Act. *See Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 683-85 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Accordingly, we will consider your section 552.101 and section 552.102 claims together.

Section 552.101 excepts "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. 540 S.W.2d at 685. The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. 540 S.W.2d at 683.

In Morales v. Ellen, 840 S.W.2d 519 (Tex. App.--El Paso 1992, writ denied), the court addressed the applicability of the common law privacy doctrine to files of an investigation of allegations of sexual harassment. The investigation files in Ellen contained individual witness statements, an affidavit by the individual accused of the misconduct responding to the allegations, and conclusions of the board of inquiry that conducted the investigation. Ellen, 840 S.W.2d at 525. The court ordered the release of the affidavit of the person under investigation and the conclusions of the board of inquiry, stating that the public's interest was sufficiently served by the disclosure of such documents. Id. In concluding, the Ellen court held that "the public did not possess a legitimate interest in the identities of the individual witnesses, nor the details of their personal statements beyond what is contained in the documents that have been ordered released." Id.

In this instance, there is no adequate summary of the sexual harassment investigations. Therefore, the district must release most of the submitted information that pertains to investigations of sexual harassment. However, based on *Ellen*, the district must withhold the identities of the victims. We have marked the information that must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

You claim that the remaining submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with the informer's privilege. The informer's privilege, incorporated into the Act by section 552.101, has long been recognized by Texas courts. See Aguilar v. State, 444 S.W.2d 935, 937 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969); Hawthorne v. State, 10 S.W.2d 724, 725 (Tex. Crim. App. 1928). It protects from disclosure the identities of persons who report activities over which the governmental body has criminal or quasicriminal law-enforcement authority, provided that the subject of the information does not already know the informer's identity. Open Records Decision Nos. 515 at 3 (1988), 208 at . 1-2 (1978). The informer's privilege protects the identities of individuals who report violations of statutes to the police or similar law-enforcement agencies, as well as those who report violations of statutes with civil or criminal penalties to "administrative officials having a duty of inspection or of law enforcement within their particular spheres." Open Records Decision No. 279 at 2 (1981) (citing Wigmore, Evidence, § 2374, at 767 (McNaughton rev. ed. 1961)). The report must be of a violation of a criminal or civil statute. See Open Records Decision Nos. 582 at 2 (1990), 515 at 4-5 (1988). In this case, you do not identify a violation of law or alleged illegal conduct. We conclude that no portion of the submitted documents is excepted from required public disclosure by the informer's privilege and section 552.101.

While you claim that some of the requested information is excepted under section 552.131 of the Government Code, former section 552.131, "Exception: Certain Information Held by School District," was renumbered as section 552.135 by the Seventy-seventh Legislature, effective September 1, 2001. See Act of May 22, 2001, 77th Leg., R.S., H.B. 2812, ch. 1420, § 21.001(54). The revision was non-substantive. Therefore, we will address your section 552.131 claim under section 552.135 of the Government Code. Section 552.135 of the Government Code provides as follows:

- (a) "Informer" means a student or former student or an employee or former employee of a school district who has furnished a report of another person's or persons' possible violation of criminal, civil, or regulatory law to the school district or the proper regulatory enforcement authority.
- (b) An informer's name or information that would substantially reveal the identity of an informer is excepted from [required public disclosure].
- (c) Subsection (b) does not apply:
 - (1) if the informer is a student or former student, and the student or former student, or the legal guardian, or spouse of the student or former student consents to disclosure of the student's or former student's name; or
 - (2) if the informer is an employee or former employee who consents to disclosure of the employee's or former employee's name; or
 - (3) if the informer planned, initiated, or participated in the possible violation.
- (d) Information excepted under Subsection (b) may be made available to a law enforcement agency or prosecutor for official purposes of the agency or prosecutor upon proper request made in compliance with applicable law and procedure.
- (e) This section does not infringe on or impair the confidentiality of information considered to be confidential by law, whether it be constitutional,

statutory, or by judicial decision, including information excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021.

Gov't Code § 552.135. Because the legislature specifically limited the protection of section 552.135 to the identity of a person who reports a possible violation of "law," a school district that seeks to withhold information under section 552.135 must clearly identify to this office the specific civil, criminal, or regulatory law that is alleged to have been violated. See also Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A).

In this case, we find that the district has not demonstrated that the conduct reported to the district concerns a possible violation of criminal, civil, or regulatory law under section 552.135. Accordingly, we conclude that the district may not withhold any portion of the remaining information from disclosure pursuant to section 552.135 of the Government Code.

Finally, we note that section 552.117 may be applicable to some of the submitted information. Section 552.117 excepts from disclosure the home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who timely request that this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. Whether a particular piece of information is protected by section 552.117 must be determined at the time the request for it is made. See Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Therefore, the district may only withhold information under section 552.117 on behalf of current or former officials or employees who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date on which the request for this information was received by the district. For those employees who timely elected to keep their personal information confidential, the district must withhold the employees' home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and any information that reveals whether these employees have family members. The district may not withhold this information under section 552.117 for those employees who did not make a timely election to keep the information confidential. We have marked the type of information that may be excepted under section 552.117.

In summary, pursuant to FERPA, the district must withhold from public disclosure the information that we have marked that may reveal or tend to reveal personally identifiable information about a student. We have marked the information that must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy, and the

type of information that may be excepted under section 552.117. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. Id. § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Mr. Therold I. Farmer - Page 8

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Cindy Nettles

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

1 hotely

CN/jh

Ref:

ID# 174784

Enc.

Submitted documents

. c:

Mr. Mark Alvarez 706 Dyer Street

Rockdale, Texas 76567

(w/o enclosures)